In short, there seems to me to be no justification for the FCC's June 2 decision to allow one company in our largest cities to own up to three TV stations, the daily newspaper, eight radio stations, the cable system and the internet sites affiliated with all of these.

If the decision stands, I believe fewer and fewer large corporations will control more and more of our media. And I believe we could expect lower standards, less attention to local interest and talent, and a dramatic decline in the diversity of public voices we hear, see and read. I think this would be bad for our democracy. That is why I have been a part of ongoing efforts in Congress to reverse the 3-2 decision of the FCC.

I want to make a second point quickly, but with no less passion. There are important elements to diversity in media other than just the issue of whether local groups or national corporations own the bulk of our media outlets.

2 d

One element that is extremely important to my constituents and to me is the lack of racial minorities in the media ownership. This issue was addressed eloquently by Commissioner Adelstein on July 22nd in his speech delivered to the Minority Media and Telecommunications Council. I agree with the Commissioner that the FCC's new ownership rules would likely make, quote, "the situation for minorities and new entrants go from bad to worse", close

quote.

1 d

12

13

15

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

25

Despite representing more than 29 percent of the U.S. population, minority broadcasters own only four percent of the nation's commercial stations, a decline of 14 percent since enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; and 1.9 percent of the nation's commercial television stations.

In a report and order released by the FCC on July 2, 2003 and published in the Federal Register on August 5, 2003, the FCC stated, quote, "that encouraging minority and female ownership historically has been an important Commission objective and we affirm that goal here", close quote.

Both because I think minority ownership is important and because I believe minority ownership best promotes a diversity of viewpoints, I simply want to encourage the FCC to make -- to take this objective seriously and make a real commitment to it.

Enough said on this issue. I thank you again, Mr. Chairman, for being here. I hope you enjoy this great city, and I'm delighted to have you in the 12th Congressional District of North Carolina.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you, Congressman Watt. Congressman Price, please.

CONGRESSMAN PRICE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let

me add my welcome to you and other members of the Commission for holding this, the first of your nationwide hearings on localism and license renewal here in North Carolina. We welcome you and we appreciate the effort you have made to take this show on the road, and to start out in this community.

In a way this discussion tonight we'll continue the discussion we had at Duke University in March with Commissioners Copps and Adelstein when the issue was localism as it pertained to media ownership.

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2d

21

22

23

I'm happy to see many familiar faces here tonight from the Triangle area of North Carolina. We've known for a long time how important local media are to our communities, but I must say we hardly anticipated how loudly this issue would resonate across the political spectrum.

I remember from my short-lived career in radio in one of those one thousand watt stations that sprang up in the 1950s, how stations were required to document their local programming and public service at license renewal time. Most of these rules are no more. But the need to ensure the local media meet the needs of their communities remain.

The license renewal process is an important part of that, I believe, but it can't do the job alone. Network 24 executives can't do that from their corporate offices in New York either. Rather, local broadcasters have a day-to-day responsibility. These broadcasters are responsible for programming that covers and engages their local communities. Indeed, they're often at their best when they compete with each other in providing such coverage.

Viewers and listeners have told us in overwhelming numbers how much they value this local orientation and want it encouraged, not smothered.

Localism is partly about who owns television and radio stations, but it's also about how broadcasters determine their programming, programming that adheres to their community standards, not the standards of some reality TV producer in Los Angeles or some Dixie Chicks bashing political operative in Washington.

ıd

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

25

Just look at radio. We're living in a world where one or a very few companies can control most of the stations we listen to. Many local stations these days are essentially run by remote control. Post-1996 deregulation has not been kind to localism and radio. Why on earth would we want to see television go down that same path?

Diversity in media isn't about 200 flavors on cable or on satellite TV or radio. It's about the diversity and the independence of our media, media that reflect the standards and needs of our communities.

Americans have made their voice heard in this

debate and I expect we'll hear a good deal more of that tonight. My thanks to the Commission for making this exchange possible.

And, Mr. Chairman, if I might, in a demonstration that concern for localism does in fact cross party lines; I'd like to ask that a fine article recently from The Charlotte Observer be included in the record by former Senator Jesse Helms and Representative Richard Burr entitled Keep Control of TV Local.

(Applause.)

1 d

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you very much, Congressman. I'll be happy to submit that for the record. At this point I'd like to ask the secretary of the FCC to please announce officially tonight's meeting.

SECRETARY DORTCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good evening to you, Commissioners, panelists and special guests. The following are the procedures for today's Localism. Task 18 Force public hearing. We will utilize a time machine to 19 maintain time limits on each presentation. Each panelist 2d will have a total of three minutes to make their individual 21 presentation.

The green light will signal for the first two 23 minutes of your remarks. When the yellow light signals, 24 you'll have one minute remaining. At that time you should sum up your presentation and closing remarks. The red light signals the end of your allotted time. Please conclude your remarks at that time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you, Madam Secretary. Let me take a second to explain to the audience exactly how the program will proceed before we get started.

The first panel will offer their testimony, and as they are speaking, all members of the public are invited to write down any questions you may have for the panelist on notecards. You'll find those notecards in the packets you picked up when you came in.

2d

Those cards will be collected and delivered up here and we'll pose as many of the questions to the panelists as time permits. In addition, my colleagues and I may take the opportunity to ask questions of the panelists as well.

period, and all members of the audience are welcome to offer comments or ask questions of the panelists directly. We'll then take a short break, and the second panel will make their presentations, again followed by questions from the bench and written questions from the audience.

So without further ado we should get started with our first panel, and let me please ask Mr. Keelor to provide your opening statement. Welcome and thank you for being with us.

MR. KEELOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. My name is Jim Keelor; I'm president and COO of Liberty Corporation based in Greenville, South Carolina. Liberty owns fifteen television stations, including WIS, the NBC affiliate in Columbia, and WWAY, the ABC affiliate in Wilmington. Our other markets run from 50 to 180.

I started out in broadcasting 36 years ago as a local reporter, so I think I've seen the industry from the ground up and I'm grateful for the opportunity to share some of the experiences of how a television station serve their local markets.

11

12

13

14

14

17

18

19

21

22

24

Shortly after beginning work at one of our stations, every new Liberty employee received from me a personal letter and that letter begins, quote, "we here at Liberty are very serious about our commitments to the communities we serve", end quote. And while there are too many examples of this commitment to describe here, I would like to mention just a few of those station efforts.

First, as most of you know, the Carolinas have an unfortunate history of hurricanes and other severe weather, and over the years both WWAY and WIS have dedicated thousands of hours to covering these storms, most recently 23 Hurricane Isabel.

Liberty has invested millions of dollars in stateof-the-art weather tracking equipment and other technology

which help us to broadcast localized emergency warnings and report on the community recovery efforts. We have also organized fundraisers to help those families who were victims of the storms.

Liberty's stations also devote a substantial amount of free air time to covering local politics. WWAY and the North Carolina Broadcasters Association have a 25year history of producing debates among statewide political candidates. WIS produced and aired live debates before both the primary and general elections for governor in South Carolina in 2002.

Prior to that general election WIS aired live interviews during its top-rated evening newscast with 18 14 candidates for federal and statewide offices, and profiles 15 of eight different key issues in the races for the U.S. 16 Senate and governor, all at no cost to the candidates.

111

12

13

17

21

22

Our stations also recognize their special place in 18 the community as a source of education and culture. 19 example, WWAY provided special programming on the debut and opening of the new Cameron Art Museum in Wilmington, and a thirty-minute special just before that museum opened.

WIS, for its part, recently launched a terrific 23 new program called "A Class Act" which helps teachers in 24 South Carolina equip their classrooms with needed supplies 25 in the face of government cuts in educational funds.

Commissioners, we think it's important for you to understand that Liberty is not unique in its commitment to localism. It's what broadcasters must strive to do. Local stations understand that given their unique place in the community, it is important and a necessity for them to be good corporate citizens.

But we also know that in this era of six nationwide TV networks, 80 cable channels, high-speed internet, and all the other sources of information out there, we must differentiate ourselves if we're going to attract and hold the attention of our viewers. The best way for us to do that is to be involved in our communities, be responsible and responsive to the concerns of our local audience. That is something Liberty Corporation learned a long time ago, and it is the main reason we've been able to survive and flourish as a group of stations located in small markets for so many years.

2d

Again, thank you for this opportunity. I'll be happy to answer any questions from the Commissioners or the audience might have.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you very much, sir. Ms. Debbie Kwei, General Manager of WCHH.

MS. KWEI: Thank you and good evening. My name is Debbie Kwei and I'm the General Manager of WCHH, 92.7 here in Charlotte. We are a member of the Radio One family which

serves local markets with a large African-American presence.

Having lived in Charlotte since 1988, I was thrilled when WCHH hired me three years ago as it set out to bring a unique urban format to my local community. delighted to speak to you today on the subject of localism. because I'm proud of the achievements WCHH has made in reaching out to the African-American community in Charlotte.

First I'd like you to know that Radio One came to the Charlotte area because of its large and growing African-American population of over 300,000 residents. Before 11 WCHH's arrival, 92.7 FM had been an exclusively oldies station with a significantly smaller minority following than it enjoys today.

12

13

14

19

2 d

21

24

Welcoming the addition of our station to the 15 market, the local arts and entertainment tabloid, Creative 16 Loafing, gave us its best format change award for 2001. 17 Rather than having to turn to national cable outlets like 18 MTV or BET, Charlotte's many urban music fans can tune into one of three very local radio venues in fulfilling their musical interests.

The urban radio heard in Charlotte is not the same as that of Los Angeles or Detroit. We regularly invite listeners to our offices and ask for feedback about our programming. We combine their input with other research to help us know which artists, whether national or local,

Charlotte's urban music fans want to hear. Through this process WCHH creates a format that reflects the particular musical tastes of southern urban listeners.

For example, in addition to popular national artists, we are always excited to air local urban talent, as we have done with a young North Carolina artist named Sherica. Because of her local appeal, I doubt people in other cities can hear Sherica as often as they have done on WCHH.

WCHH also airs a weekly program called Heat From 11 the Street which exclusively features local artists. To 12 find other new acts that appeal to our listeners, station program directors in Charlotte will meet with 14 representatives of independent labels weekly.

10

15

19

2d

23

24

25

By attracting a larger music following, WCHH is a 16 perfect outlet for speakers wishing to reach the local African-American community, whether on issues of personal well-being or during local emergencies like recent Hurricane Isabel.

Seizing upon these opportunities is our Life Improvements for Everyone Campaign which tackles six issues per year that are a particular concern to the African-American community.

For example, in the upcoming campaign WCHH will run public service announcements with the local American Red Cross Chapters to inform listeners about the low supply of minority bone marrow donors and opportunities for free bone marrow testing for minorities.

To be sure the local Red Cross has the attention of our listeners, we will air stories about the sister of . popular urban artist Nelly who is suffering from leukemia. This month for breast cancer awareness, we asked representatives from the local clinics to speak on the air about the need for regular detection measures.

In airing these and other public service messages 11 we could not reach Charlotte's African-American community if 12 we hadn't first met our locality's particular interest in 13 urban music. Thus, localism for us is learning and catering 14 to the listening interest of our local target audience 15 working with the community on important issues of public 16 concern, and providing an outlet for local artists.

Thank you very much for your time. I look forward 18 to hearing your guestions.

17

19

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you very much, Ms. Kwei. 2d Our next panelist is Tift Merritt.

MS. MERRITT: Hi, my name is Tift Merritt. major label recording artist. And if you haven't ever heard of me, it's because I'm not on your radio. I've brought my record if you want to pass them out; I'd appreciate it.

Time Magazine named my debut the number six record

of 2002; Billboard called me a major new artist; CMT regularly played my music video. Here's my spread in Vanity Fair and I was on David Letter- -- I'm legit, and I'm not here to complain that I'm not the queen of the radio.

I'm here because I'm a North Carolina musician; I'm a North Carolina businesswoman. Everyone in my band is from North Carolina. The support of my North Carolina fans has really allowed me to accomplish what I have, so you're looking at local.

And when I was invited to speak today, I was told that today's debates are about localism, not about . ownership. As a local, I want to make it clear that any 13 conversation about localism without regard to media 14 ownership is absolutely avoiding the heart of this issue and 15 certainly cannot render a sincere solution.

(Applause.)

10

11

12

16

17

21

22

I only have three minutes, so I'm going to cut you In Fortune magazine the president of Clear Channel, 18 off. 19 Lowery Mays, I am not attacking him; I'm saying what he said. He said that his company is not interested in music, 2 d in songs, in DJs; they sell advertising.

I'm here today because I'm very distressed that 23 the FCC feels comfortable allowing the public airwaves to rest in the hands of people who admittedly do not care about 25 content. The airwaves are public; the airwaves serve the

public, not a corporate bottom line, and this is where localism begins.

In North Carolina I've sold as many records as people like Toby Keith and Alan Jackson. My local country affiliate knew about this. People called in and requested me. And because I'm local, and a lot of them told me about And you would think that because I was making such major inroads nationally that the station would have been thrilled to support me. Not once.

And, in fact, the people who called in were told 11 by the DJs that the DJs wanted to play me, but management was going to have to change the programming.

10

12

13

17

18

2**d**

23

25

And on top of that, when this issue came to light 14 earlier this spring and received some publicity, the station said well, it's because Tift's record company didn't contact 15 us, which was absolutely, probably the truth because my record company, who I have a wonderful relationship with, did make the decision that they were not going to spend a lot of money on an expensive radio campaign.

Do you understand what that means? It costs money 21 to get on the radio. And, you know, these executives are really smart, but they're not smart enough they want me to pay it back, so they put it on my royalty statement of what I'm financially responsible to them for. So it's, you know, it's there.

I think in my instance I don't understand how the airwaves can be a place of healthy competition. For example, radio conglomerates claim that programming is localized, and I don't see how this can be true in this case. And deregulation proponents claim that the airwaves are public. But how, when a station disregarded listeners in the signal range, how can that be true?

I want to make it very clear that I'm a realistic, small businesswoman and that I was locked out of competition 10 and isolated from my main line to my audience. The fewer 11 the radio station owners, the fewer and the -- the less the 12 concern about content. The more monotony on every play 13 list, the more I will be locked out and thousands, 14 thousands, thousands of people like me will be in that 15 situation.

And these are people that bring 500 to 2,000 17 people to your main street on any given night. They fill 18 your restaurants, they use FedEx, they use hotels. 19 are legitimate people who contribute to the economy. most importantly, they bring their music and they're going to be silenced.

14

21

22

23

24

Commissioner, the new media rules incorporating clear standards for local programming are important, but local initiative that refuses to recognize the role that 25 concentrated media plays in stifling local voices simply

elevates window-dressing over true substance.

And in North Carolina if you want to talk about local musicians, you're talking about John Coltrane, you're talking about Roberta Flack, Doc Watson, Max Roach, Earl Scruggs, people who not only made this state unique, but have shaped the heritage of our country and are reknown around the world.

If you give young musicians no possibility of making a living, if you give the radio waves to people with 10 no regard for music or localism or content, if you stifle 11 the musical outlets with an unfettered interest in the 12 bottom line, you will scatter not only the next generation 13 of North Carolina talent, but 49 other states worth because 14 they will have to find something more feasible than an instrument to voice their sorrow and their joy.

Thank you for your time.

(Applause.)

19

14

17

18

2 d

24

23(

25

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you, Ms. Merritt, for that 19 passionate recitation.

MS. MERRITT: I was hoping to be charming, but I 21 didn't know I'd only have three minutes. I was told five.

CHAIRMAN POWELL: It was a righteous five, though. Our fourth panelist is Ms. Joan Siefert Rose, General Manager of WUNC-FM. We're very happy to have you here, and please proceed.

MS. ROSE: I'm glad to be here, and I'm also very pleased to tell you that Tift Merritt can be heard on WUNC, as well as on many other public stations across the state. It is an honor for those of us down on the left-hand side of the FM band to address the Commission's Task Force on Localism on behalf of noncommercial broadcasters in the state.

The topic of the hearing today which is local public service really touches upon my core responsibility as 10 a public broadcaster. I'm sure that most of you here have 14 tuned in to public radio at some point. North Carolina has 15 different public radio licensees offering news, public affairs, classical music, jazz, bluegrass, hip-hop, reggae, community service announcements, and reading services to the 15 blind.

And we have a combined weekly audience of 1.3 million listeners across the state, so it's a significant audience that is reached in North Carolina.

14

14

17

19

23

24

One of the licensees is WUNC public radio. 20 a news and public affairs service, with bluegrass music on the weekends, licensed to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. We serve 300,000 weekly listeners in 36 counties in North Carolina.

We don't receive any direct funds from either the 25 university or the State of North Carolina. And in a typical year we receive less than ten percent of our operating dollars from the Federal Corporation for Public Broadcasting. We must rely on the generous support of the local community of listeners to keep us on the air.

Therefore, we really do depend on the extent of the service we provide responsive to the needs and interests of our local listeners that we serve.

As a public broadcaster, WUNC is an editorial voice that speaks with a North Carolina accent. That is, we live and we breathe the stories we report. Local programming is personally very important to me.

2 d

I was moved by Congressman Price's discussion of his brief career at a 1,000 watt station, because when I became a broadcast journalist 25 years ago, the industry required each radio station to provide a minimum amount of local news and public affairs. I learned my craft at small stations just like that and practiced it in increasingly larger markets of commercial stations.

Although commercial stations no longer face specific local programming requirements, local news and public affairs programming remain the very foundation of public broadcasting.

While commercial broadcasters still do perform many valuable services, and is ably documented by the panel here this evening, we are unique in our ability as a non-

commercial station to dedicate enormous amounts of our time and resources to creating purely local programming.

The FCC can help support the strong local programming on non-commercial stations like WUNC. You can help by protecting the technical integrity of our broadcast signals by enforcing non-interference technical standards in a fair, reasonable and timely manner.

I'd like to point out that WUNC recently incurred an expensive and lengthy technical challenge at the FCC against an interfering translator station. I also want to point out that most public stations do not have the financial or legal resources to do so.

2d

We very much encourage diverse voices and viewpoints and welcome new broadcasters to the spectrum, but we also want to point out that it should not be done -- the signal should not be at the expense of existing public stations that provide excellent service to listeners.

I also wish in closing to pay tribute to UNC television, public television stations serving more than two million viewers every week across the state, and also to the public TV station and the public radio station here in Charlotte. Their stewardship to the community sets a standard that is the envy of public television and radio broadcasters across the country.

My fuller statement is being submitted for the

record along with a voluminous set of letters from listeners and viewers to the local public -- to their local radio and television stations talking about the service that has been provided, and I thank you very much for this opportunity.

(Applause.)

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2d

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN POWELL: Thank you for your presentation and we'll now allow questions of the panelists. And if you haven't filled out your card, please do so and send them forward. I invite my colleagues to ask any questions they might have.

I'd like to start with a question at least for the two commercial broadcasters and I think even public broadcasting would have something to say about it.

On the way down in the plane I was reading a number of E-mails that we've already begun to receive at our localism site about this area, and I want to read one criticism and ask a question about it.

This local resident writes: "I'd like to state my support for any initiative which allows more local controlled media outlet. The trend has been away from anything local in the way of radio broadcast. 'Every day we hear about radio stations being bought up by conglomerates, in many cases, American corporations.

Who's to say that they're -- what their agendas 25 really are? They certainly don't have local community

interest at heart, and the end result is driving out local culture. The corporation's, by necessities, desire is to increase its bottom line, and that's inherently incompatible with local interests."

A lot of times that is the sentiment that underlies the tension between commercial or corporate broadcasting and the public interest, that they are in some sense incompatible, that somehow serving the bottom line or being profitable is not consistent with that.

And I'd ask all of you to comment on that or offer some dimension to that if you'd like. I'd even be willing to bet that there are broadcasters on the other side who wish to speak to it to answer.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KEELOR: Well, I'll attempt to answer that. think first of all one of the distressful things we see in television in a lot of the small markets is given the cost of competition and operation and particularly with the digital conversion, a lot of small market owners are in financial trouble.

That is, they make a profit, but they do not have the kind of resources to invest in the kind of services they might like to have. Those are individual cases. speak only to my own company.

I am proud to say that if you went into any of our 25 markets, I don't think anyone in the market would know who

Liberty Corporation is, and we designed it that way. We try very hard not to be a corporate entity. We are local television stations. Our local managers head the United Way, we do Red Cross blood drives, we do all those things that identifies us in the community.

So in Liberty Corporation's -- at least in our situation, I don't think that really applies because in our markets other than the little disclaimer we put up at the end of the newscasts that, you know, owned by Liberty Corporation, which is required by law as identification, no one knows who we are, and we like it that way.

d

2d

We want them to know who the local management, the local talent, the local programming and the local station are.

MS. KWEI: Just to kind of follow up on that, I would agree and I think most of the FM broadcast radio stations in the market pretty much do the same thing, and that is we all stamp, if you will, our local stations versus our company headquarters. When we're on the air we speak of our individual stations, not the corporate owners.

Just to kind of follow up on what you said, I totally -- I agree with you somewhat and I agree with that person somewhat because I think that there is always, always a striving on our part to do better. I don't think any broadcaster in this room or elsewhere can honestly sit and

say that they're doing everything right.

On a day-to-day basis we sit and we meet locally, I think our COO and our director of sales nationally meet daily, weekly, trying to figure out ways and find ways in which we can do a better job.

Revenue is a big part of what we do. We are commercial radio stations, but at the same time we have a responsibility. In our case we have a responsibility to the community at large, we have a responsibility to the African-American community to uphold certain standards, to follow policies and guidelines set by the FCC, which we all do, and again, we try our best to do it better on a day-to-day basis.

So I hear that person loud and clear, and I think it would be very easy for us to sit here and say that that's not true, where what I think we're trying to say is to a certain degree it is true, but we have to do a better job daily in trying to overcome that.

MS. ROSE: Even as a public broadcaster certainly we can do more to reflect our local community. However, I think we are naturally aligned in the fact that our contributions and our operating dollars largely come from individual listeners. So to the extent that our programming is responsive to their needs, they will contribute. And if they don't contribute, then we know we are not meeting their

needs.

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2 d

21

22

24

We get a report card very quickly on that, so I would say I would agree with the other speakers that we could do more certainly and we intend to as our resources grow and as we meet the needs of listeners we anticipate the contributions will increase.

COMMISSIONER COPPS: Let me just ask one question while we wait for the cards, and I'll ask it of Jim Keelor. The right of a local station or an affiliated station to reject a program strikes a lot of people, including me, as integral to preserving localism and this raises its head particularly in the matter of indecent programming that might be contrary to the values of a community.

How important is the right to reject and is it a problem for you or for other independent stations that you know of to reject a network feed that you deem unacceptable to the values of the local community?

MR. KEELOR: Well, Commissioner Copps, I think you're correct in that the right to reject rule for 50 years the Commission has recognized that as a core of localism and we'd like to see that continued.

The dynamics of the network affiliate relationship 23 changed so much that it is more difficult to preempt network programming. But I think you also have to realize that the right to reject rule insists that the licensee is really the sole determiner of the quality and content of a program for the local market.

And there are also times when a local program, be it a high school championship, a student debate, a town hall meeting, might take precedent over a network program. that -- I'm not talking about a news event now, I'm talking about a community event. And I think a station should have the right to reject to do that.

In the dynamics that exist today, it is difficult to make that happen and getting more difficult. And I think that's why the affiliated stations group filed a petition to the Commission more than two years ago asking them to simply reaffirm, not create new law, but reaffirmed what has been a fifty year tradition of the right to reject.

11

12

13

15

14

17

18

19

2 d

21

22

23

24

And the petition is still there and has not been acted on, and I think that if you really want to see something that can drive localism and ensure it for the future, we would like to see the Commission clarify and reaffirm its fifty year support of the right to reject rule.

COMMISSIONER ADELSTEIN: Ms. Merritt, you said something that caught my attention. You said essentially that you have to pay for play on radio, that the label or you are forced to pay. That's commonly known as payola.

I'm wondering if you understand that's a violation 25 of the law, that --